

HW 12

1. a. True: If $f(x)$ has α as a root and $m(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of α over F , then do poly. division alg to write

$$f(x) = q(x)m(x) + r(x), \quad \deg(r(x)) < \deg(m(x)).$$

then $f(\alpha) = q(\alpha)m(\alpha) + r(\alpha)$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = 0 + r(\alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is a root of } r(x).$$

If $r(x) \neq 0$ then this contradicts the minimality of $m(x)$.

$$\text{So } r(x) = 0 \text{ and } m(x) \mid f(x).$$

b. False. If E is algebraic but not finite degree/ F ,

then suppose it's simple: $E = F(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in E$.

then α is algebraic, and $[E:F] = \text{degree of the}$

min poly of α , which is impossible for a not-finite-degree alg extension.

EX: $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is algebraic, but not finite degree, so definitely not simple.

c. False: if t is transcendental over F , then $F(t)$ is not algebraic, but it is simple.

d. True: if y^2 is algebraic over F , say y^2 is a root of $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$.

$$\text{i.e. } f(y^2) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i y^{2i} = 0$$

then let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{2i}$ and note

that $g(y) = f(y^2) = 0$, so y is algebraic/ F .

e. True: if $f(x) \in F[x]$ is a polynomial with α as a root, then we can think of $f(x)$ as a polynomial in $E[x]$ to conclude α is also algebraic over E .

f. False: Let t be transcendental over F and consider

$$F \subseteq F(t^2) \subseteq F(t).$$

t is a root of $f(x) = x^2 - t^2 \in F(t^2)$, so it is algebraic over $F(t^2)$.

2. $|G(E/F)|$ is the number of automorphisms of E that are extensions of $\text{id}: F \rightarrow F$.

$\{E:F\}$ is the number of isomorphism from E to any other subfield of \bar{F} extending $\text{id}: F \rightarrow F$. Since the automorphisms in $G(E/F)$ form a subset of the set of all iso extensions, we have

$$|G(E/F)| \leq \{E:F\}$$

By theorem 51.6, $\{E:F\} \mid [E:F]$,

$$\text{so } \{E:F\} \leq [E:F].$$

3. Let $F \subseteq E$ be a ^{finite} field extension and assume that the fixed field of $G(E/F)$ is F . To show E is a finite normal extension, we need to show that for all $\alpha \in E$, α is separable and its minimal polynomial splits in E .

A priori, the minimal polynomial of α/F factors in \bar{F}

$$\text{as } f(x) = (x-\alpha_1)^{\vee} \dots (x-\alpha_m)^{\vee} (x-\beta_1)^{\vee} \dots (x-\beta_n)^{\vee}$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in E$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \notin E$, ($\alpha_1 = \alpha$)

and $v \geq 1$ is the multiplicity of the roots of $f(x)$. we want to show that actually, $v=1$ (separability) and there are no β terms (splitting of minimal polynomial).

Consider $g(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_m) \in \overline{F}[x]$.

its coefficients are the elementary symmetric expressions in $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$, so:

constant term: $\pm \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m$

coeff on x : $\pm \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{i-1} \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_m$

\vdots

coeff on x^{n-2} : $\pm (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \alpha_m)$

coeff on x^{n-1} : $\pm (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m)$.

Any $\sigma \in G(E/F)$ permutes the $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ roots, which means σ fixes $g(x)$. so $g(x) \in F[x]$. But

$\deg(f(x)) = v(m+n)$ while $\deg(g(x)) = m$.

by minimality, $v(m+n) \leq m$, which only happens if $v=1$ and $n=0$. so $g(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of α , which is therefore separable with a splitting min poly over F .

4. see the proof of thm 56.3 (p 451) for the arguments we used in class.